1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE			
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION		
3				
4	_	5 - 10:32 a.m. Hampshire NHPUC APR27'15 AM 8:04		
5	Concord, New	nampshire		
6	DE.	DG 15-117		
7	RE:	LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH		
8	, .	NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES - KEENE DIVISION		
9		2015 Summer Cost of Gas.		
10	DDE GENTE.	Chairman Mantin D. Hanighang Dragiding		
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott		
12	,			
13		Sandy Deno, Clerk		
14				
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities:		
16		Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq.		
17		Reptg. PUC Staff:		
18		Michael J. Sheehan, Esq. Rorie E. Patterson, Esq. Stanbar P. Frink Asst. Dir. (Sas. S. Water Div.		
19	- u	Stephen P. Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Div. Al-Azad Iqbal, Gas & Water Division		
20				
21				
22				
23	Cou	art Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52		
24				

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: DAVID B. SIMEK FRANCISCO C. DaFONTE	
5	Direct examination by Ms. Knowlton	6
6	Cross-examination by Mr. Sheehan	10
7	Interrogatories by Commissioner Scott	15
8		
9	* * *	
10		
11	EXHIBITS	
12	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
13	1 Summer 2015 Cost of Gas Filing, including the Direct Testimony	6
14	of Francisco C. DaFonte and David B. Simek, with attachments	
15	(04-01-15)	
16		
17	* * *	
18		
19	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE NO.
20	Mr. Sheehan	18
21	Ms. Knowlton	19
22		
23		
24		

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this
3	morning in DG 15-117, which is Liberty Utilities
4	(EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp., which is really, for
5	purposes of this hearing, talking about the Keene
6	Division, which used to be New Hampshire Gas. Is this the
7	first cost of gas hearing here since you purchased the
8	Company?
9	MS. KNOWLTON: Yes, it is.
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Does it still have
11	that "new company" spell?
12	(Laughter.)
13	MS. KNOWLTON: Yes.
14	MR. SHEEHAN: It smells like propane.
15	(Laughter.)
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, we don't have
17	to do it by teleconference from Rochester, which is a
18	treat, although it was a good test of our technology when
19	it was being done.
20	Again, we don't want to get into I
21	don't want to read from the Order of Notice. It's boring.
22	Why don't we take appearances before we
23	go further.
24	MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning,

```
1
       Commissioners. My name is Sarah Knowlton. I'm here today
       on behalf of Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas)
 2
 3
       Corp., and the Keene Division. We're very excited and
       pleased to be here today. It's the first matter since the
 4
 5
       closing.
 6
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're going to have
 7
       to come up with a smoother way to get that out. I had the
 8
       same problem you did as we did the name. So, we'll have
 9
       to come up a --
10
                         MS. KNOWLTON: Maybe I'll just say "the
11
       Keene Division of EnergyNorth"?
12
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That could work.
13
                         MS. KNOWLTON: We can think about that.
14
       With me today from the Company are its two witnesses, Mr.
15
       Simek and Mr. DaFonte. And, also here from the Company is
16
       I have Mr. Mullen and Mr. Hall and Mr. Killeen.
17
      Mr. Killeen is the Director of Regulatory Strategy for
18
       Liberty Utilities.
19
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning. Mike
20
       Sheehan, for Commission Staff. Present with me are Steve
21
      Frink, Al-Azad Igbal, and co-counsel Rorie Patterson.
22
                         And, I call it "Liberty-Keene".
23
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The OCA is not in
24
       this one?
```

1	MR. SHEEHAN: No, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go off the
3	record for just a second.
4	(Off-the-record discussion ensued.)
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go back on
6	the record. Ms. Knowlton.
7	MS. KNOWLTON: Yes. The Company has two
8	administrative matters to take up before we proceed. The
9	first is the Company filed a Motion for Waiver of Puc
LO	1203.05(b), which is the rule that requires that rates
L1	take effect on a service-rendered basis. The Company is
L2	seeking to implement the proposed rate on a bills-rendered
L3	basis, which has been the historic practice of New
L 4	Hampshire Gas, as I understand it, largely due to the
L5	system that's used to process bills. And, we have
L6	continued to produce bills in the exact same manner that
L7	New Hampshire Gas used to use. So, we do seek approval to
L8	implement the rate on a bills-rendered basis.
L9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan.
20	MR. SHEEHAN: We have no objection. The
21	prior motions had numbers, and the cost to transform it to
22	a different billing system were not cost-effective. So,
23	that's why it's been approved on many occasions. So, we
24	have no objection.

[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~DaFonte] 1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That motion is 2 approved. 3 MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. And, then, the second matter is the Company would propose to mark for 4 5 identification the April 1st, 2015 filing, which consists of the Direct Testimony of Francis DaFonte and David 6 7 Simek, which is Bates numbered 001 through 030. 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. 9 (The document, as described, was herewith marked as **Exhibit 1** for 10 11 identification.) 12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, with that, you 13 ready to call your witnesses? 14 MS. KNOWLTON: Yes. The Company calls 15 Mr. Simek and Mr. DaFonte. 16 (Whereupon **David B. Simek** and 17 Francisco C. DaFonte were duly sworn by 18 the Court Reporter.) 19 DAVID B. SIMEK, SWORN 20 FRANCISCO C. DaFONTE, SWORN 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. KNOWLTON: 23 Good morning, Mr. DaFonte. 24 (DaFonte) Good morning. Α.

- 1 Q. Would you state your full name for the record please.
- 2 A. (DaFonte) Francisco C. DaFonte.
- 3 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 4 A. (DaFonte) Liberty Energy Utilities (New Hampshire)
- 5 Corp.
- 6 Q. In what position do work for the Company?
- 7 A. (DaFonte) I am the Vice President of Energy
- 8 Procurement.
- 9 Q. In that capacity, what activities do you carry out?
- 10 A. (DaFonte) I oversee the propane procurement for the
- 11 Keene Division of EnergyNorth.
- 12 Q. And, how long have you been doing that?
- 13 A. (DaFonte) Let's see. A few months now.
- 14 Q. Since the closing in January 2015?
- 15 A. (DaFonte) Since the closing in January.
- 16 Q. And, we've marked for identification as "Exhibit 1"
- 17 your joint testimony with Mr. Simek. Do you have that
- 18 before you?
- 19 A. (DaFonte) Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. And, was that prepared by you or under your direction?
- 21 A. (DaFonte) Yes, it was.
- 22 Q. Do you have any corrections or updates to your
- 23 testimony today?
- 24 A. (DaFonte) I do not.

[WITNESS PANEL: Simek~DaFonte]

- 1 Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained in your
- 2 testimony today, would the answers be the same?
- 3 A. (DaFonte) Yes, they would.
- 4 Q. Mr. Simek, would you state your full name for the
- 5 record.
- 6 A. (Simek) David B Simek.
- 7 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 8 A. (Simek) Liberty Energy Utilities (New Hampshire) Corp.
- 9 Q. What is your position with the Company?
- 10 A. (Simek) I am a Lead Utility Analyst within the
- 11 Regulatory Group.
- 12 Q. Do you have any responsibility as it relates to the
- 13 Keene Division of EnergyNorth?
- 14 A. (Simek) Yes. I'm responsible for the rate calculations
- for Keene.
- 16 Q. And, do you have before you what we've marked for
- identification as "Exhibit 1", which is the April 1st,
- 18 2015 Summer Cost of Gas Filing?
- 19 A. (Simek) Yes.
- 20 Q. And, the testimony that you filed, was that prepared by
- 21 you or under your direction?
- 22 A. (Simek) Yes, it was.
- 23 Q. Do you have any corrections or updates to your
- 24 testimony?

1	Α.	(Simek) I have no corrections to my testimony. I would
2		like to bring up a proposal for filing dates related to
3		the cost of gas. We would like to propose changing our
4		filing dates from what is currently in the tariff of
5		being "30 days prior to the effective date", to
6		actually move those dates up, to allow OCA and Staff
7		more time to review these filings. We would like to
8		change the summer cost of gas filing due date to March
9		9th, and then, for the winter cost of gas, we're
10		proposing to change the filing due date to
11		September 16th.
	I	

- Q. Other than that update, if I were to ask you the questions in your testimony today, would the answers be the same?
- A. (Simek) Yes, they would.

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

16 MS. KNOWLTON: The Company has no 17 further questions for its witnesses.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. To the extent Mr. Simek's request was a motion, and we're not offering testimony, we would support the requested change to those filing dates as he set out. It would give us, Staff, and the OCA more time for review, and it would give the world more notice of the hearing to step in and intervene, if

```
1
       they so chose. So, that's Staff's position on those
 2
       changes.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What's the current
 4
       source of the dates that are being used today? Why are
 5
       they being filed on the current schedule?
 6
                         MR. SHEEHAN: I understand they're part
 7
       of tariff, is that right?
 8
                         MS. KNOWLTON: Correct. Section 17(f)
       of the tariff states that the filing shall be made "30
 9
10
       days prior to the effective date", which puts us on a very
11
       truncated schedule. And, so, we feel that it would be
12
       good to give everybody more time.
13
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Does that language
14
       prevent you from filing earlier? Isn't there an implied
       "at least" there?
15
16
                         Let's go off the record for a minute.
17
                         (Off-the-record discussion ensued.)
18
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Back on
19
       the record. Mr. Sheehan.
20
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. I just have a
21
       few questions, and whoever feels best able to answer.
22
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
24
         After acquiring New Hampshire Gas, and we just heard
     Q.
```

- the closing was in January of this year, have there
 been any changes in the operations of the company?

 And, if so, could you summarize.
 - A. (Simek) No. We continue to operate the company as it was. There's been no change in operations since acquisition.
 - Q. Thank you. Regarding the futures prices, have you looked at the current futures price for propane? And, if the filing were to be updated to reflect the current prices, how would that change the proposed rate?
 - A. (Simek) Based on the April 17th settlement date, the cost of gas would actually go up by about \$9,200, and this would have a 2.9 percent increase in the rate.

 So, the rate -- the proposed rate right now is at 91 cents. And, it would go up to about, with rounding, about 94 cents.
 - Q. And, as we discussed in the prior hearing on the same topic, you would actually implement any change on a monthly basis based on the most current futures price at that time, is that correct?
- 21 A. (Simek) Correct.

Q. There's a discussion in your testimony about the
Propane Purchase Stabilization Plan, I think this is
Mr. DaFonte's testimony. And, you note that, in this

past winter, it actually cost customers quite a bit, 50 percent, I believe. Did the Company consider updating or changing that plan, in light of this experience this past winter? And, if so or if not, please explain.

A. (DaFonte) You know, The nature of any price stabilization plan is that it's designed to minimize price volatility. There are going to be winters when prices for propane come down, and, therefore, the locked-in price is going to be higher. So, customers aren't going to benefit entirely from that lower price. In other winters, the Winter of 2013-14, for example, it was a benefit to customers. But it's designed to stabilize the price.

In addition, where -- we target about 65 percent of the hedge, so that there is an opportunity with that other 35 percent for customers to participate in the downside of the market. So, even this winter, when propane prices started to drop off, the customers were able to benefit from that lower price through incremental spot purchases. And, because it was such a cold winter, there were a lot of incremental spot purchases at lower prices. But, you know, going into the winter, that -- the market price

- for the winter period was at the level that we locked in at. So, we would not propose to change the program.
 - Q. And, how does the program fit in with the Fixed Price
 Option? Does the Company still offer that? And, does
 that play any role that you want to lock in some supply
 for your Fixed Price customers?
 - A. (DaFonte) Right. It certainly helps, insofar as establishing what that fixed price is going to be. If we hadn't locked in a price, then, you know, that fixed price would lead to more cost-shifting. Because we're just floating with the market, yet we're locking in a segment of the customers at some fixed price. So, that also helps to provide what is truly a fixed price for customers.
- Q. And, you intend that program to be available this winter as well, the Fixed Price?
- 18 A. (DaFonte) Yes, I would. Yes.

- Q. Can you give us the update of the bid results for your hedging plan this -- I understand that you were in the process just this last few weeks completing?
 - A. (DaFonte) Yes. We did finalize that. We sent it out to six companies for bid. We received two bids, and selected the lowest bid. We, through our experience in

1 dealing with propane suppliers, we were able to add a 2 new supplier to that list. And, that new supplier was 3 ultimately the lowest bidder, and by a decent amount. Because it's confidential, I can't reveal that at this 4 5 point in time, but it was what I considered to be 6 significantly lower than the next best bid. So, I 7 think that's just another benefit I think that we can -- we have brought to the Keene Division, just 8 9 through our work with some of these propane suppliers 10 and our knowledge of the business.

- Q. Can you disclose the premium you paid last year for this program? I can't remember if it was in the testimony or not.
- A. (DaFonte) It is in there. I believe it was nine cents.
- 15 Q. That sounds right. And, is that the equivalent -- the
 16 similar figure for this year, is that the number that
 17 is confidential? Or, can you disclose that premium
 18 that you're paying this year?
- 19 A. (DaFonte) Yes. We'd have to look. It's structured a little bit differently.
- 21 Q. Okay.

11

12

13

14

A. (DaFonte) The bids came in at a Mont Belvieu, which is essentially the Henry Hub of propane, plus the delivered basis.

-

- 1 Q. And, that's --
- (DaFonte) And, so, that's the locked -- that's the 2 Α. 3 locked in value, is, you know, a total delivered price, 4 and then you add on the Mont Belvieu price, which is 5 what we lock in. So, we're actually in the process 6 right now of locking that in as part of the Propane 7 Price Stabilization Program. So, we'll be doing that 8 throughout this summer period, from April through 9 September.
- 10 Q. And, again, we'll have a more thorough discussion of this in the winter cost of gas this fall?
- 12 A. (DaFonte) Yes, indeed.
- MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Scott.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: It's still morning.
- 17 Good morning.
- 18 WITNESS DaFONTE: Good morning.
- 19 WITNESS SIMEK: Good morning.
- 20 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: A couple questions.
- 21 BY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:
- Q. Looking at your exhibit, it would seem that your customer count is pretty stable. Is that correct?
- 24 A. (DaFonte) That's correct.

Q. Do you expect any changes in that? Is there growth prospects or departures?

- A. (DaFonte) Subject to check, I don't think there's much growth. I think it's going to be pretty stable, until there's another option for customers. Whether it be a natural gas pipeline option, or LNG, or some combination.
- Q. Okay. And, now that you have some time under your belt collectively for this new acquisition, are the -- am I correct that the facility storage, etcetera, are sufficient to meet those needs? If they're pretty stable, I would assume they are.
- A. (DaFonte) Yes, they are. We've also had discussions with Staff with regard to additional utilization of the capacity in EnergyNorth's Amherst propane facility, which would, again, provide additional benefits to the Keene Division customers, whereby we could purchase additional summer volumes, which are typically at a lower price than winter, store them in the facility, and then, in lieu of purchasing additional spot supplies at a higher price, we would take the storage supply and use that for the Keene Division.
- Q. Interesting. Thank you. And, regarding your request for filing date changes, does that pose any concerns

- 1 regarding locking in future prices along those lines?
- 2 A. (DaFonte) It would not. Having it -- having the filing
- done by September 16th, we would -- certainly, we would
- 4 want to have that last month, which is in September, we
- 5 want to have that hedged. So, that purchase will
- 6 probably take place early September, so that we can
- 7 reflect it in the rate to be effective November 1st.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you. And, again, going back to the fact
- 9 that you recently acquired the utility, have there been
- any issues that have come up with that? For instance,
- 11 customer awareness, who do they call, billing, that
- 12 type of thing? Any issues?
- 13 A. (DaFonte) We have not experienced any, any changes,
- really, from that. Essentially, the same employees are
- there, the same processes are in place. We're
- reviewing all of those to look at ways in which we can
- improve them. But they have done a very good job there
- in Keene. And, so, to date, things have really been
- essentially the same as they were prior to the
- 20 acquisition.
- 21 Q. So, sounds like it's relatively seamless, is that a
- fair characterization?
- 23 A. (DaFonte) It has been. But for, you know, a few
- changes, as I mentioned earlier, with the ability to

```
store additional propane, and adding another supplier
 1
          to the bid list and so forth. Just things that we'll
 2
 3
          continue to bring to the Keene Division to help and
 4
          enhance, you know, the customer experience.
 5
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Okay. Thank you.
       That's all I have.
 6
 7
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have no questions
 8
       that haven't already been asked.
                         Ms. Knowlton, do you have any further
 9
10
       questions for your witnesses?
11
                         MS. KNOWLTON: I have nothing.
12
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: There's no other
       witnesses, correct?
13
14
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
15
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think, then we're
16
       done with them.
17
                         Is there any objection to striking the
18
       ID from the exhibit?
19
                         MR. SHEEHAN: No, sir.
20
                         MS. KNOWLTON: No.
21
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I didn't think so.
22
       Then, we'll do that.
23
                         I have nothing else to do before you sum
24
          Mr. Sheehan.
       up.
```

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. And, if I didn't say it before, we also do not object to the waiver request on the bills.

Staff has reviewed this Petition and the numbers beneath it, and we support the proposed Summer Cost of Gas rate for the Keene Division. And, it sounds like, as you suggest, that the transition has gone well, from what we've seen, too. So, we support it. And, we look forward to following the Winter Cost of Gas. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Knowlton.

MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. The Company is requesting that the Commission approve the rate on a bills-rendered basis as of May 1st, 2015. The Company is pleased that the cost of gas is going down. And, as Mr. DaFonte testified, the Company has brought some additional resources to the table for the customers in Keene, through the services of its Energy Procurement Group, identifying an additional source of supply for propane that has been cheaper for customers. So, we think that's a good thing. As well as the availability of the storage in the Amherst tank.

So, that's all I have for now. And, thank the Staff for their expeditious review of this.

```
1
       And, we look forward to coming back in the winter for the
 2
       cost of gas proceeding.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, you need an
 4
       order next week, correct?
                         (Atty. Sheehan indicating in the
 5
 6
                         affirmative.)
 7
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. And, if
 8
       there's nothing else we need to do, then we will adjourn.
 9
       Thank you all.
10
                          (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
11
                         10:55 a.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```